Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

4-Amino-3-(4-pyridyl)-1,2,4-triazole-5(4*H*)-thione

Fang Zou, Wei-Min Xuan, Xue-Ming Fang and Hui Zhang*

State Key Laboratory for the Physical Chemistry of Solid Surfaces, Department of Chemistry, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: tristanzou@yahoo.com.cn

Received 13 November 2007; accepted 29 November 2007

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 273 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.005 Å; R factor = 0.065; wR factor = 0.169; data-to-parameter ratio = 13.8.

In the title molecule, $C_7H_7N_5S$, the pyridyl and triazole rings form a dihedral angle of 20.07 (6)°. Intermolecular N-H···N hydrogen bonds link the molecules into chains extended in the direction [101]. Further stability is provided by $\pi \cdot \cdot \cdot \pi$ stacking interactions, indicated by short distances between the centroids of triazole rings [3.480 (5) Å] and pyridyl rings [3.574 (5) Å] of neighbouring molecules.

Related literature

For the biological activities of related compounds, see: Eweiss *et al.* (1986); Awad *et al.* (1991). For a similar structure, see Kajdan *et al.* (2000).



Experimental

Crystal data	
$C_7H_7N_5S$	<i>b</i> = 14.215 (11) Å
$M_r = 193.24$	c = 15.068 (12) Å
Monoclinic, $C2/c$	$\beta = 93.432 (15)^{\circ}$
a = 7.722 (6) Å	$V = 1651 (2) \text{ Å}^3$

Z = 8Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 0.35 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

Data collection

Bruker APEX area-detector
diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scar
(SADABS; Bruker, 2001)
$T_{\min} = 0.950, \ T_{\max} = 0.973$

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.065$ 118 parameters $wR(F^2) = 0.169$ H-atom parameters constrainedS = 1.01 $\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.29$ e Å $^{-3}$ 1626 reflections $\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.28$ e Å $^{-3}$

Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$N4-H4B\cdots N1^{i}$	0.86	1.91	2.772 (4)	175
Symmetry code: (i) x	$-\frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z +$	$-\frac{1}{2}$.		

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2001); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3* (Farrugia, 1997) and *ViewerPro* (Accelrys, 2001); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

The authors thank the Provincial NSF of Fujian Province of China (grant No. 2005YZ1020) and the NSF of Xiamen University (Series B, grant No. XDKJCX20061027).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: CV2366).

References

- Accelrys (2001). ViewerPro. Version 4.2. Accelrys Inc., Burlington, Massachusetts, USA.
- Awad, I., Abdel-Rahman, A. & Bakite, E. (1991). J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 51, 483–491.
- Bruker (2001). SAINT (Version 6.22), SMART (Version 5.625) and SADABS (Version 2.03). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Eweiss, N., Bahajaj, A. & Elsherbini, E. (1986). J. Heterocycl. Chem. 23, 1451-1457.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Kajdan, T., Squattrito, P. & Dubey, S. (2000). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 300–302, 1082–1089.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.

4402 measured reflections 1626 independent reflections

1116 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

T = 273 (2) K 0.15 × 0.10 × 0.08 mm

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.060$

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2008). E64, o213 [doi:10.1107/S1600536807064331]

4-Amino-3-(4-pyridyl)-1,2,4-triazole-5(4H)-thione

F. Zou, W.-M. Xuan, X.-M. Fang and H. Zhang

Comment

Amine- and thione-substituted triazoles have been studied as anti-inflammatory and antimicrobial agents (Eweiss *et al.*, 1986; Awad *et al.*, 1991). Herein, we report the structure of the title compound, (I).

In (I) (Fig. 1), the molecule exists as a thione tautomer. All bond lengths and angles are normal and comparable with those found in related compounds (Kajdan *et al.*, 2000). The dihedral angle between the pyridinyl and triazole rings is 20.07 (6)°.

In the crystal, intermolecular N—H···N hydrogen bonds (Table 1) link the molecules into chains extending in direction [10–1]. Further stability is provided by π ··· π stacking interactions supported by short distances between the centroids of pyridine (*Cg*1) and triazole (*Cg*2) rings, respectively - *Cg*1···*Cg*1ⁱⁱ 3.574 (5) Å, *Cg*2···*Cg*2ⁱⁱⁱ 3.480 (5) Å [symmetry codes: (ii) 1/2 - x, 3/2 - y, -z; (iii) -x, y, 1/2 - z].

Experimental

Potassium hydroxide (8.4 g, 0.15 mol) in 100 ml of absolute ethanol was added to isonicotinohydrazide (13.7 g, 0.10 mol) under ice bath. The mixture was stirred until the solution became clear, and carbon disulfide (9.04 ml, 0.15 mol) was added. The solution was reacted for 12 h at room temperature and 100 ml dried ethyl ether were added to form a precipitate, which was filtered and washed with ethyl ether several times. The precipitate was mixed with hydrazine hydrate (8.0 g, 160 mmol) and 10 ml water. The solution was refluxed for 2 h until the colour of the solution became clear green. After cooling to room temperature, 100 ml ice water was added and neutralized with 3*M* hydrochloric acid to form the precipitate, which was isolated by filtration and purified by recrystallization from ethanol to give pure 3-pyridinyl-4-amino-5- -mercapto-1,2,4-triazole. Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by slow evaporation of an Dimethylformamide solution.

Refinement

The hydrogen atoms were geometrically positioned (C—H 0.93 Å, N—H 0.86–0.90 Å) and were included in the refinement in the riding model approximation, with $U_{iso}(H)=1.2-1.5$ Ueq of the parent atom.

Figures



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of (I) showing the atomic numbering and 30% probability displacement ellipsoids.

4-Amino-3-(4-pyridyl)-1,2,4-triazole-5(4H)-thione

$F_{000} = 800$
$D_{\rm x} = 1.555 {\rm ~Mg} {\rm ~m}^{-3}$
Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Cell parameters from 1061 reflections
$\theta = 2.7 - 23.8^{\circ}$
$\mu = 0.35 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
T = 273 (2) K
Clubbed, colourless
$0.15\times0.10\times0.08~mm$

Data collection

Bruker APEX area-detector diffractometer	1626 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1116 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Monochromator: graphite	$R_{\rm int} = 0.060$
T = 273(2) K	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 26.0^{\circ}$
ϕ and ω scan	$\theta_{\min} = 2.7^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2001)	$h = -9 \rightarrow 9$
$T_{\min} = 0.950, \ T_{\max} = 0.973$	$k = -16 \rightarrow 17$
4402 measured reflections	$l = -8 \rightarrow 18$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.065$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.169$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0857P)^2 + 0.3739P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
<i>S</i> = 1.01	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.048$
1626 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.29 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
118 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.28 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct	

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct Extinction correction: none methods

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on F, with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2 \operatorname{sigma}(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R– factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$
S1	0.11589 (13)	0.51429 (7)	0.38748 (7)	0.0572 (4)
N5	0.2341 (3)	0.73058 (19)	0.24951 (19)	0.0425 (8)
C6	0.2889 (4)	0.6629 (2)	0.2010 (2)	0.0362 (8)
N3	0.2571 (3)	0.57925 (19)	0.2400 (2)	0.0414 (7)
C3	0.3732 (4)	0.6799 (2)	0.1189 (2)	0.0366 (8)
N1	0.5323 (3)	0.7249 (2)	-0.0353 (2)	0.0468 (8)
N4	0.1676 (3)	0.68743 (19)	0.31970 (19)	0.0401 (7)
H4B	0.1229	0.7172	0.3624	0.048*
C7	0.1781 (4)	0.5945 (2)	0.3160 (2)	0.0409 (9)
C1	0.5178 (5)	0.7863 (3)	0.0293 (3)	0.0551 (11)
H1A	0.5630	0.8462	0.0219	0.066*
N2	0.2980 (4)	0.49002 (19)	0.2082 (2)	0.0579 (10)
H2B	0.2642	0.4458	0.2463	0.087*
H2C	0.2407	0.4820	0.1550	0.087*
C4	0.3874 (5)	0.6154 (3)	0.0534 (3)	0.0564 (11)
H4A	0.3438	0.5550	0.0596	0.068*
C5	0.4670 (5)	0.6408 (3)	-0.0219 (3)	0.0591 (11)
H5A	0.4753	0.5959	-0.0663	0.071*
C2	0.4414 (4)	0.7675 (3)	0.1055 (2)	0.0493 (10)
H2A	0.4350	0.8138	0.1488	0.059*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
S1	0.0686 (7)	0.0505 (6)	0.0540 (7)	0.0022 (5)	0.0170 (6)	0.0140 (5)
N5	0.0460 (16)	0.0430 (16)	0.0394 (18)	-0.0043 (12)	0.0104 (15)	-0.0002 (13)
C6	0.0297 (16)	0.0445 (19)	0.034 (2)	-0.0018 (13)	0.0000 (15)	0.0030 (16)
N3	0.0415 (15)	0.0405 (16)	0.0433 (18)	0.0031 (11)	0.0118 (14)	0.0008 (13)
C3	0.0259 (15)	0.0485 (19)	0.035 (2)	0.0043 (13)	0.0030 (15)	0.0050 (16)
N1	0.0402 (15)	0.062 (2)	0.0383 (19)	0.0031 (14)	0.0070 (14)	0.0012 (16)
N4	0.0420 (15)	0.0470 (17)	0.0324 (17)	-0.0008 (12)	0.0111 (14)	0.0011 (13)
C7	0.0330 (16)	0.043 (2)	0.047 (2)	0.0027 (14)	0.0053 (17)	0.0025 (16)
C1	0.064 (2)	0.048 (2)	0.055 (3)	-0.0077 (17)	0.018 (2)	0.002 (2)
N2	0.076 (2)	0.0413 (17)	0.060 (2)	0.0057 (15)	0.0273 (19)	-0.0025 (16)
C4	0.070 (2)	0.047 (2)	0.053 (3)	-0.0123 (18)	0.018 (2)	-0.005 (2)
C5	0.077 (3)	0.059 (3)	0.043 (2)	-0.002 (2)	0.021 (2)	-0.0063 (19)
C2	0.061 (2)	0.046 (2)	0.042 (2)	0.0017 (17)	0.0151 (19)	-0.0012 (17)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

1.659 (4)	N4—C7	1.325 (4)
1.295 (4)	N4—H4B	0.8600
1.350 (4)	C1—C2	1.349 (5)
1.356 (4)	C1—H1A	0.9300
1.452 (4)	N2—H2B	0.9000
1.347 (4)	N2—H2C	0.8999
1.399 (4)	C4—C5	1.371 (5)
1.355 (5)	C4—H4A	0.9300
1.372 (5)	C5—H5A	0.9300
1.319 (5)	C2—H2A	0.9300
1.317 (5)		
104.9 (3)	N3—C7—S1	127.2 (3)
109.5 (3)	N1—C1—C2	124.0 (3)
122.4 (3)	N1—C1—H1A	118.0
128.1 (3)	C2—C1—H1A	118.0
109.3 (3)	N3—N2—H2B	109.6
124.1 (3)	N3—N2—H2C	108.1
126.6 (3)	H2B—N2—H2C	109.5
117.3 (3)	C3—C4—C5	119.0 (4)
124.6 (3)	C3—C4—H4A	120.5
118.1 (3)	С5—С4—Н4А	120.5
115.9 (3)	N1—C5—C4	124.1 (4)
113.1 (3)	N1—C5—H5A	118.0
123.4	С4—С5—Н5А	118.0
123.4	C1—C2—C3	119.7 (3)
103.2 (3)	C1—C2—H2A	120.1
129.6 (3)	C3—C2—H2A	120.1
	1.659 (4) $1.295 (4)$ $1.350 (4)$ $1.350 (4)$ $1.356 (4)$ $1.452 (4)$ $1.347 (4)$ $1.399 (4)$ $1.355 (5)$ $1.372 (5)$ $1.319 (5)$ $1.317 (5)$ $104.9 (3)$ $109.5 (3)$ $122.4 (3)$ $128.1 (3)$ $109.3 (3)$ $124.1 (3)$ $126.6 (3)$ $117.3 (3)$ $124.6 (3)$ $118.1 (3)$ $115.9 (3)$ $113.1 (3)$ 123.4 123.4 $103.2 (3)$ $129.6 (3)$	1.659(4)N4—C7 $1.295(4)$ N4—H4B $1.350(4)$ C1—C2 $1.356(4)$ C1—H1A $1.452(4)$ N2—H2B $1.347(4)$ N2—H2C $1.399(4)$ C4—C5 $1.355(5)$ C4—H4A $1.372(5)$ C5—H5A $1.319(5)$ C2—H2A $1.317(5)$ N3—C7—S1 $109.5(3)$ N1—C1—C2 $122.4(3)$ N1—C1—H1A $128.1(3)$ C2—C1—H1A $109.3(3)$ N3—N2—H2B $124.1(3)$ N3—N2—H2C $126.6(3)$ H2B—N2—H2C $117.3(3)$ C3—C4—C5 $124.6(3)$ C3—C4—H4A $115.9(3)$ N1—C5—C4 $113.1(3)$ N1—C5—H5A 123.4 C4—C5—H5A 123.4 C1—C2—H2A $129.6(3)$ C3—C2—H2A

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	D—H···A
N4—H4B…N1 ⁱ	0.86	1.91	2.772 (4)	175

Symmetry codes: (i) x-1/2, -y+3/2, z+1/2.

